

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/945,450	BRUNNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JASON B. DUNHAM	3625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jason Dunham. (3) _____.
 (2) Sam Campbell. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 95.

Identification of prior art discussed: Henson (US 6,167,383), Klencke (US 5,867,709), and Hinshaw (US 6,571,192).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Sam Campbell, attorney of record, indicated that it was acceptable to incorporate the limitations of previously withdrawn claims 79 and 80 into independent claim 95 in an examiner's amendment to distinguish over the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jason B Dunham/ Examiner, Art Unit 3625	
---	--